UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,263	04/19/2004	James B. McKim JR.	10003851-3	9405
7590 05/26/2006			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			NGUYEN, JIMMY	
Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2829	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

			, L
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,263	MCKIM, JAMES B	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jimmy Nguyen	2829	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		ith the correspondence add	Iress
Period for Reply         A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF         THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION         - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.         - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr         - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior         - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).         Status         1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/1         2a)  This action is FINAL.       2b) Th         3)  Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under         Disposition of Claims	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Ai ling date of this communication, even if <u>1.7/06</u> . his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely filed, may reduce any ters, prosecution as to the	nmunication.
<ul> <li>4) ∑ Claim(s) <u>6, 24 - 37</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29 - 31</u> is/are witho</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s)</li></ul>	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a pplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the last sector of the sect</li></ul>	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFf	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No	Stage
Attachment(s)          1) X       Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         2) X       Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)         3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date         8. Batent and Trademark Office	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

VP.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 6, 24 – 37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,531,898.

10/874761	US 6,531,898
6, 32	1
24, 33	2
25,34	3
26, 35	5
27, 28, 36, 37	4
29	6

Application/Control Number: 10/826,263 Art Unit: 2829

ì

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are still the same scope of the invention

Further, It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add indicator at the output of the source for purpose of reading the result.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1965. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ha Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should Application/Control Number: 10/826,263 Art Unit: 2829

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN. 5 24, 2006

.

٠

VINH NGUYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER A.U.2829 05/25/06